

*Definition of the Domain
for Summative Evaluation*

Sociovocational Integration Services

SVI-1022-1 Working in Teams

**Definition of the Domain
for Summative Evaluation**

Sociovocational Integration Services

SVI-1022-1 Working in Teams

Formation professionnelle et technique
et formation continue

Direction de la formation générale
des adultes

© Gouvernement du Québec
Ministère de l'Éducation, du Loisir et du Sport, 2005 – 05-00392

ISBN 2-550-44774-3 (version imprimée)
ISBN 2-550-44775-1 (PDF)

Legal deposit — Bibliothèque nationale du Québec, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Program Orientations and Consequences for Summative Evaluation	2
3.	Course Content	3
3.1	Theme	3
3.2	Skill.....	3
4.	Table of Dimensions	4
5.	Explanation of the Content and Weighting	5
6.	Observable Behaviours	6
7.	Description of the Examination	7
7.1	Type of Examination.....	7
7.2	Partnership	7
7.3	Type of Result	7
8.	Bibliography	8

PROGRAM: Sociovocational Integration Services

SECTION 1.2.3: Teamwork

COURSE: SVI-1022-1 Working in Teams

1. INTRODUCTION

This definition of the domain for summative evaluation describes and classifies the essential and representative elements of the *Sociovocational Integration Services* program and, more specifically, of the course entitled *Working in Teams* (SVI-1022-1). It is based on the program's organization guide, but should by no means replace the guide itself. It takes into account the diversity of local organizations and the implementation of local projects, while ensuring that all summative evaluation instruments are consistent with the overall program. It is an essential tool for developing summative evaluations that are in line with instructional planning.

The definition of the domain for summative evaluation is used to prepare examinations that are valid from one version to another, from year to year, and from one school board to another, taking into account the division of responsibilities shared by the Ministère de l'Éducation, du Loisir et du Sport and the school boards.

2. PROGRAM ORIENTATIONS AND CONSEQUENCES FOR SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

Orientations

1. "Sociovocational integration involves every dimension of the students,"* including physical, intellectual, emotional, spiritual and social dimensions. Education must target the whole person, including personal, career and social experience and goals.
2. "Sociovocational integration enables students to learn to learn,"* i.e. to know their own personal way of learning, realize their intellectual potential, facilitate their personal and social development and vocational integration, interact with their environment and broaden their vision of the world.
3. "Sociovocational integration permits students to become familiar with and to make use of the social, political and economic environment,"* i.e. to promote and use the natural network of relationships and the environment.
4. "Sociovocational integration is an ongoing, permanent process"* in a job market in which people are constantly evolving.

Consequences

1. This definition of the domain for summative evaluation takes only cognitive skills into account; socioaffective skills are dealt with by means of formative evaluation.
2. The evaluation should verify the students' ability to use their critical judgment, generalize their learning, seek meaning in their experiences and learning, solve problems and integrate new information.
3. The evaluation should verify the students' familiarity with their environment at the end of the course and their ability to use the available resources.
4. Taking into account the local situation, the evaluation should verify the level of correspondence between, on the one hand, the students' ability to reproduce and transfer their learning without help and, on the other hand, their choice of vocational integration training suited to their preferences, their abilities and their continuing education and training needs.

* Québec, Ministère de l'Éducation, Direction de la formation générale des adultes, *Program: Sociovocational Integration Services* (Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 2002), p. 1-3.

3. COURSE CONTENT

3.1 Theme

- **Working in a team in the workplace**
 - Strengths and weaknesses in working as part of a team
 - Ways to consolidate their new learning and improve their weak points

3.2 Skill

- **Understanding**

Identifying their strengths and weaknesses in working as part of a team in the workplace, and explaining their answer

Identifying ways to consolidate their new learning and improve their weak points

4. TABLE OF DIMENSIONS

Skill	Theme Working in a team in the workplace 100%
Understanding 100%	- Strengths and weaknesses in working as part of a team - Ways to consolidate their new learning and improve their weak points (1) 100%

5. EXPLANATION OF THE CONTENT AND WEIGHTING

The expected outcome for course SVI-1022-1 involves a theme and skill that can be weighted according to their complexity.

The theme and skill for summative evaluation have been selected from among those considered essential for achieving the expected outcome of the course: “**work as part of a team in the workplace.**” This theme and skill have been selected and weighted in accordance with the prescribed specifications and participation criteria.

Thus, the students come to **understand** how to work as part of a team in the workplace.

Emphasis is placed on the students’ ability to:

Content	Explanation of Content
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identify their strengths and weaknesses in working as part of a team in the workplace, and explain their answer 	Because of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the use of critical judgment
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identify ways to consolidate their new learning and improve their weak points 	Because of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the potential for generalizing learning - the potential for transferring learning

The theme and skill are weighted as follows:

Theme	100%	Skill	100%
Working in a team in the workplace	100%	Understanding	100%

6. OBSERVABLE BEHAVIOURS

Weighting of items



Numbers in the table of dimensions



1)

60%

On the basis of the different hypothetical situations in the course, identify:
– two strengths in working as part of a team in the workplace (10% per strength)
– two weaknesses in working as part of a team in the workplace (10% per weakness)

For each of the strengths and weaknesses identified, explain their answer (5% per explanation).

Criteria:

- Appropriate strengths and weaknesses identified
- Coherent explanations given

40%

For each of the strengths identified, provide:
– one particular way of consolidating their new learning (10% per way)

For each of the weaknesses identified, provide:
– one particular way of improving it (10% per way)

Criterion:

- Appropriate ways provided

7. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXAMINATION

7.1 Type of Examination

The examination required for the summative evaluation can be written or oral, and must not exceed 40 minutes. It is administered at the end of the course. Students are permitted to use course notes, the list of strengths and weaknesses identified during the course and other information.

It may be possible to develop more than one item per observable behaviour. In this case, the marks awarded can be divided among the items, provided that the relative weight of the observable behaviour is taken into account.

7.2 Partnership


Partners inside or outside the school may participate in the summative evaluation process.

7.3 Type of Result

The result obtained may be expressed as a “pass” or “fail,” or as a mark. The pass mark is set at 60% for the entire examination. The results must be transmitted in accordance with the rules set out in the *Administrative Manual for the Certification of Studies in General Education for Adults and in Vocational Training*.

8. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- D'Hainault, L. *Des fins aux objectifs de l'éducation*. Brussels: Éditions Labor, 1988.
- De Landsheere, V., and G. De Landsheere. *Définir les objectifs de l'éducation*. Paris: P.U.F., 1976.
- Lavallée, M. *Taxonomie des objectifs pédagogiques*. Vol. 1. Montréal: Éducation Nouvelle, 1969.
- Lavallée, M. *Taxonomie des objectifs pédagogiques*. Vol. 2. Montréal: Éducation Nouvelle, 1969.
- Legendre, R. *Dictionnaire actuel de l'éducation*. Montréal: Guérin, 1993.
- Morissette, D., and M. Gingras. *Enseigner des attitudes, planifier, intervenir, évaluer*. Québec: Les Presses de l'Université Laval, 1989, p. 86, 88, 91, 122, 155, 156, 165 and 166.
- Québec. Ministère de l'Éducation. *Guide: Design and Development of Measurement Instruments in General Education*. Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 1993.
- Québec. Ministère de l'Éducation, Direction de la formation générale des adultes. *Program: Sociovocational Integration Services*. Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 2002.
- Québec. Ministère de l'Éducation, Direction générale de l'éducation des adultes. *Administrative Manual for the Certification of Studies in General Education for Adults and in Vocational Training*. Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 2004.
- Québec. Ministère de l'Éducation, Direction générale de l'éducation des adultes. *Guide d'élaboration des instruments d'évaluation sommative à l'éducation des adultes*. 2nd ed. Québec: Gouvernement du Québec, 1988.



Éducation,
Loisir et Sport

Québec



learning
throughout life

41-8036-DDE-A