

Project submission date:

Evaluation Form for Interdisciplinary Projects

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A SPECIAL ARTS EDUCATION PROJECT

Identification					
School:					
School board:					
Administrative region:					
Education level:					
Main arts subject:					
Other arts subjects:					
Number of hours:					
Model:					
Date sent for evaluation: Deadline for evaluation	n purposes:				
Evaluator:					
Evaluation Scale					
Scale for interpreting the extent to which the file under review satisfies MELS requirement	z·				
Scale for interpreting the extent to which the file under review satisfies MELS requirements: A: This aspect fulfills all the requirements.					
B: This aspect fulfills most of the requirements, but could be improved.					
C: This aspect fulfills the minimum requirements.					
D: This aspect needs more work in order to fulfill the requirements. (Details must be provided regarding improvements that could be made to the project.)					
(Dotallo made to provided regarding improvemente that could be made to the projectly					
Aspects Evaluated					
2 Introduction					
2.1 General presentation of the project	A	В	С	D	
Connections between the arts program and the school's educational project The program:					
• furthers the mission, values, orientations and goals of the educational project					

3 Complete version of the local program				
3.1 Philosophy of the arts program and connections with the Québec Education Program (QEP)	Α	В	С	D
a) Consideration of the aims of the QEP The program:				
makes it possible to observe how the role of the student reflects the orientations or aims of the QEP				
b) Consideration of the broad areas of learning in the QEP The program:				
makes it possible to observe how the broad areas of learning have been taken into account in teaching and learning				
c) Consideration of the other competencies The examples and the learning and evaluation situation:				
make it possible to observe the student's development of the other competencies				
3.2 Development of subject-specific competencies and learning content	Α	В	С	D
a) Presentation of planning tables The planning tables:				
include development of the three competencies of the basic program				
present learning from the basic program in connection with the enriched component				
b) Knowledge The addition of the enriched component in the progression of learning (knowledge):				
• makes it possible to observe connections (in terms of knowledge) between the basic program and the other arts programs offered in the enriched component				
c) Applications of knowledge The addition of the enriched component in the progression of learning (applications of knowledge):				
• makes it possible to observe connections (in applications of knowledge) between the basic program and the other arts programs offered in the enriched component				
d) Connections between the competencies of the basic program and the enriched component The examples and the learning and evaluation situation:				
show connections between the basic program and the other arts programs offered in the enriched component				
e) Time allocated to the development of each competency in the basic program and the enriched component The program:				
makes it possible to develop the three competencies of the basic program and of the other arts programs offered in the enriched component				
f) Balance between the local program and the time allotted in the subject-time allocation The enriched component:				
• makes it possible to justify the additional time allotted in the subject-time allocation from one year to the next				
3.3 Description of learning contexts	Α	В	С	D
Presentation of learning contexts The learning and evaluation situation:				
alternates between work in small groups and work in large groups				
develops autonomy				
encourages the use of a variety of media and resources				

3.4 Description of evaluation standards and procedures	Α	В	С	D
a) Evaluation to support learning The program:				
presents approaches that support evaluation during the learning process				
offers opportunities and activities in which students consider learning and learning strategies from a metacognitive perspective				
presents evaluation criteria during the school year				
b) Evaluation to report on the learning that has been acquired The program:				
• presents the evaluation elements of the enriched component in connection with the evaluation framework for the basic program				
c) Description of additional evaluation tools (e.g. checklist) and recording methods (e.g. portfolio), if applicable The program:				
• presents tools and methods that allow the student to follow his/her progress in developing the competencies				
d) Description of evaluation standards and procedures for the basic program and the other arts programs offered in the enriched component The program:				
describes the student's participation in his/her own evaluation				
indicates whether evaluation is carried out by other stakeholders				
indicates the methods favoured (e.g. self-evaluation)				
3.5 Description of how the cultural dimension is taken into account	Α	В	С	D
Consideration of the cultural dimension The learning activities and learning situation help to integrate the cultural dimension by:				
including meetings with artists that could carry over into classroom activities				
including cultural field trips				
allowing students to develop a better understanding of their cultural environment and artistic culture				
3.6 Presentation of a learning and evaluation situation and an evaluation situation	Α	В	С	D
Presentation of a learning and evaluation situation and an evaluation situation The learning and evaluation situation:				
• is complete				
presents the broad areas of learning in a meaningful way				
presents possible evaluation methods and procedures				
takes into account periods of activity in class				
The evaluation situation:				
presents the criteria from the evaluation framework				

_	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
L1/3	HISTIAN	Silmmarv
LVal		Summary
		<i>-</i> 4 <i>y</i>

Evaluation summary (program structure)	Α	В	C	D
Organization of the document submitted based on the definition of a special project The local program under review:				
• shows that all elements of the compulsory programs have been taken into account. In addition, the enriched sections have been developed in a clear manner.				

Signatures				
Evaluator				
Signature:	Date:			
Evaluation coordinators				
Signature:	Date:			
Signature:	Date:			



Project Evaluation Form

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A SPECIAL ARTS EDUCATION PROJECT

Project submission date:
Identification
School:
School board:
Administrative region:
Evaluator:
Information to be provided to the school
Project strengths

Areas to be improved in the short or medium term	
	_

Comments or questions that may facilitate communication with	n the school with regard to required details
Signatures	
Evaluator	
Signature:	Date: