Gouvernement du Québec Ministère de l'Éducation Direction des statistiques et des études quantitatives

http://www.meq.gouv.qc.ca No. 3 – June 1998

100NI: 4 400 004V

# Education Statistics

## Educational Expenditure Relative to the GDP: A Comparison of Québec and OECD Countries

Code: 28-2695A	Introduction
	The indicator that is most often used to measure financial investment to education is the percentage of the gross domestic product (GDP) spent on education. This indicator measures the relative share of a nation's wealth that is invested in education. In this context, we can ask what percentage of the GDP is allocated to education in Québec; how does this financial investment compare to that of other provinces, the United States and member countries of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD); and what explains the differences observed between Québec and these other areas?
	This information bulletin answers these questions and complements the <i>Education</i> <i>Indicators</i> , <sup>1</sup> in which the Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec makes comparisons primarily with the other provinces and the United States. Here, Québec's situation is compared primarily with that of the OECD countries. <sup>2</sup>
	In December 1997, the OECD published its fifth edition of <i>Education at a Glance: OECD Indicators</i> , which offers a more in-depth analysis of the factors accounting for differences in the educational expenditure of various countries. In this bulletin, we will use this type of analysis to better situate Québec's financial investment in education in comparison with that of OECD countries.
	Educational Expenditure Relative to the GDP
	In 1994, Québec spent a larger portion of its GDP on education (8.0%) than the rest of Canada (7.0%), the United States (6.6%) and almost all the OECD countries for which data were available (5.9%). Only Denmark earmarked a larger percentage of its GDP to education than Québec in 1994. Following budget cutbacks in recent years, Québec reduced educational spending by approximately 1.0 percentage point, to an estimated 7.0% of its GDP in 1997.

<sup>1.</sup> Education Indicators, Direction générale des services à la gestion, Direction des statistiques et des études quantitatives, Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec, yearly publication.

<sup>2.</sup> The OECD's concept of total educational expenditure is not the same as that used in the *Education Indicators* (which refers to Statistics Canada's definition for the provinces). However, in this bulletin, when Québec is compared to the OECD countries, the Québec data have been adjusted to take into account the OECD definition. Moreover, according to the OECD's instructions, the data provided by level of instruction have not been adjusted to take into account the organizational differences in the various education systems.

		1994	1997
Educational expenditure relative to	Québec	8.0	7.0
the GDP: Québec, other	Canada, excluding Québec	7.0	6.2
United States and the	United States	6.6	7.2
OECD country mean (%)	OECD country mean	5.9	N/A
OECD country mean (%)	OECD country mean e: estimates N/A: not available Appendix 1 provides detailed data to In 1997, the percentage of the GDP in the rest of Canada, but lower that education in Québec than the average to the fact that Québec's collective we level of collective wealth as the at expenditure would represent a sm Canada. The most recent data available for the the portion of the GDP spent on educe than the OECD country mean-a cord GDP (which was \$167.3 billion in 1997) In order to explain why Québec investor OECD country mean, the following spending; collective wealth (defined demographic factor. When all levels of rate is defined as the ratio of the sc olds, while the demographic factor is the total population. To clearly understand the role of eace each area, the following simulation except one are identical for each at area with the higher spending investor allocated to education. Moreover, the	5.9 by country and by level of allocated to education was an in the United States. T ge across the Canadian pr vealth is relatively lower. I verage for the other pro- aller percentage of the G the OECD countries date to action in Québec was 2.1 p isiderable difference. In e 1994) represents a total of a sts a greater share of its GI g four factors must be con- as the per capita GDP); e of instruction are taken into nool population to the population the factor in determining the can be used. Let us ass- rea. If per-student spendi- ts more because a larger e less wealthy area (with a	N/A instruction for 1994. s higher in Québec than The higher spending on rovinces is primarily due f Québec had the same ovinces, its educational BDP than elsewhere in o 1994 and indicate that bercentage points higher offect, 2.1% of Québec's \$3.5 billion. DP in education than the considered: per-student enrolment rates; and the baccount, the enrolment bulation of 5-to-29-year- n of 5-to-29-year-olds to e financial investment of ume that all the factors ng is different, then the quantity of resources is a lower per capita GDP)
	spends a greater portion of its GD quantity of resources allocated to its the school-age population is differe investment because more financial <b>Per-Student Spending</b> Table 2 provides data on per-stu	On education than ano students. If enrolment rand nt, then a higher ratio ind resources are required. <sup>1</sup>	other area for the same ate or the percentage of icates a larger financial entary, secondary and

<sup>1.</sup> See Appendix 2.

<sup>2.</sup> Includes preschool education and undistributed expenditures.

D	_	0
Dan	$\mathbf{a}$	-
ı au		0

Pe

by in t

CA PPP

an

Table 2 er-student spending		Elementary and Secondary Education	Postsecondary Education	All levels of instruction <sup>1</sup>
he public sector (in N\$ converted using	Québec	6 062	14 087	8 235
s), 1994-95: Québec d the OECD country	OECD country mean	4 726	9 649	5 560
mean	Difference (%)	28	46	48
	In 1994, per-student compared with an ave Per-student spending Québec than the C postsecondary educat 80% of all operating e must be considered v observed.	spending for all levels of rage of \$5 560 for the Of for elementary and sec DECD country mean, tion was 46% higher. Be expenses in Québec and when looking at the fac	of instruction was s ECD countries, for a condary education whereas spending ecause salaries acc d in most of the OE tors that contribute	\$8 235 in Québec, a difference of 48%. was 28% higher in g per student for ount for more than CD countries, they to the differences
	Salaries include the workload. Teachers of institutions and have the of <i>Education at a Glan</i> was calculated for the f below.	remuneration of person oviously make up the lar he most impact on educa <i>ce,</i> the impact of various irst time. Equivalent calcu	nel as well as all gest category of pe ational expenditure. factors on the cost o ulations for Québec	aspects related to rsonnel in teaching In the 1997 edition of teachers' salaries have been included

When comparing teaching costs per student, two main components can be used: the statutory salary and the student-teacher ratio.<sup>1</sup> The student-teacher ratio is obtained by dividing the number of students by the number of teachers (data on enrolments and teaching personnel is expressed in full-time equivalents).<sup>2</sup>

In another statistics bulletin,<sup>3</sup> we presented a comparison of the salaries and teaching time of teachers in public elementary and lower secondary schools in Québec and in the OECD countries for 1993-94. We considered starting salaries (at the beginning of a career), salaries after 15 years of experience, and maximum salaries (at the top of payscales). Salaries were also compared with other variables such as per capita GDP.

This comparison reveals that, according to all the indicators considered, the salaries of teachers in Québec school boards are relatively higher than for the OECD country mean. Data for 1994-95 indicate the same.

The following table provides a comparison of annual statutory salaries for teachers in public lower secondary schools (1994-95) for Québec and the OECD country mean.

- 1. See Appendix 3.
- 2. It is important to understand that the student-teacher ratio calculated in this manner does not indicate the average number of students per class (average class size). To clearly understand the difference between the student-teacher ratio and the average class size, the student-teacher ratio must be considered as a composite indicator that is the result of three variables: the average number of students per class, the average teaching time of teachers and the average instruction time for students. See Appendix 3.
- 3. See Ministère de l'Éducation, Direction des statistiques et des études quantitatives. Statutory Salaries and Teaching Time of Teachers in Public Elementary and Lower Secondary Schools: A Comparison of Québec and OECD Countries, No. 2, November 1997. This document is available on the Internet: http://www.meq.gouv.qc.ca.

Table 3 Annual statutory salaries of teachers in		Starting Salary	Salary after 15 Years of Experience	Maximum Salary				
schools (in CAN\$	Québec	29 331	44 053	44 053				
1994-95: Québec and the OECD country mean	OECD country mean	25 286	33 855	40 465				
	Difference (%)	16	30	9				
	The difference between salaries is particularly high for teachers with 1 experience, primarily because Québec teachers reach the top of their pay 15 years of experience, whereas in the OECD countries, the maximum attained on average after 26 years of experience. It should be remembered, however, that teachers employed by Québec sch							
	States. <sup>1</sup>	than their colleagues						
	Table 4 provides a consecondary education (19)	nparison of the stu 194-95) for Québec a	dent-teacher ratio for and the OECD countr	r elementary and y mean.				
Table 4 Student-teacher ratio		Elementar Educatior	y S n E	Secondary Education				
for public elementary and secondary	Québec	17.5		14.5				
Québec and the OECD country mean	OECD country mean	18.2		14.4				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Difference (%)	-4		1				
	bec public elementa ry mean.	ry and secondary						
	or the first time the OE rmine the student-tea es. The average num ess than Québec's st omparison of three fac ondary education.	CD presented data cher ratio for public ber of students per udent-teacher ratio stors that determine						

<sup>1.</sup> See the *Education Indicators* of the Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec as well as the document, *Inter-Provincial Education Statistics Project*, published by British Columbia's Ministry of Education.

<sup>2.</sup> The data available for Québec do not allow the calculation of the average number of students per teacher for the first cycle of secondary education. The average number of students per teacher may be different in Québec at the beginning and at the end of secondary education primarily because of the difference in the composition of enrolments. For example: there are more students with handicaps or learning or adjustment difficulties at the beginning of secondary education. However, because more supervision is required for these two categories of students, they counterbalance each other in part.

Table 5 Instruction time for students, teaching time		Instruction time for students (hours per year)	Teaching time for teachers (hours per year)	Average class size (average number of students per class)
average number of students per class, in	Québec	900	615	21
education, 1994-95: Québec and the OECD	OECD country mean	941	746	17
country mean	Difference (%)	-4	-18	24

The instruction time for students is defined here as the number of hours of instruction that students must receive per year. The teaching time is calculated on the basis of the annual number of hours that a teacher spends teaching in the classroom; this indicator does not take into account the hours that a teacher spends on other activities such as preparing for courses, helping students, and attending training sessions and meetings. The average class size indicates the average number of students per class; this is a theoretical measure calculated on the basis of the student-teacher ratio multiplied by the students' instruction time and divided by the teachers' teaching time (according to the OECD's method).1

It appears that in Québec, instruction time is slightly lower and teaching time considerably lower, but that the average class size is larger than the OECD mean for countries for which data were available.

To clearly understand the degree to which each of these factors contributes to educational expenditure, the following simulation can be used. Let us assume that two countries have identical education systems except for one of the following factors: teachers' teaching time, students' instruction time and average class size. If teachers' teaching time is different, then the country with the lower teaching time must hire more teachers and educational spending will therefore be higher. If students' instruction time is different, then the country with the lower instruction time will require fewer teachers to provide educational services and educational spending will therefore be lower. The same reasoning can be applied to the average class size to demonstrate that the country with more students per class will have a smaller financial investment in education.

If we return to the comparison of Québec and the OECD country mean, it appears that, of the three factors that determine the student-teacher ratio, one factor increases the gap between educational expenditure in Québec and the OECD country mean (lower teaching time in Québec), and two reduce it (lower instruction time and larger average class size in Québec).

Table 6 presents a summary of the degree to which factors contribute to the difference between the teaching costs per student for public lower secondary education. In this instance, salary costs are based on salary after 15 years of experience (according to the OECD indicator).

<sup>1.</sup> More complete definitions are provided in the OECD publication, Education at a Glance. It should be noted that, for Québec, the enrolments considered for the calculation of the student-teacher ratio, and therefore indirectly for the calculation of the average number of students per class, include all educational activities in the school boards (regular education, welcoming and francization classes, vocational education, students with handicaps or adjustment or learning difficulties, adult education, etc.).

Table 6Impact of variousfactors on teachers'salary costs perstudent, for publiclower secondaryeducation (in CAN\$converted using PPPs),1994-95: Québec andthe OECD country mean

Salary costs per student for Québec teachers 3 05				
Salary costs per student for teachers in OECD countries				
Difference between Québec and OECD countries	512			
Factors accounting for this difference:				
Teachers' salaries	707			
Students' instruction time	-126			
Teachers' teaching time	544			
Average class size	-613			
Total	512			

It is estimated that teaching costs per student at the lower secondary level for 1994-95 was \$3 055 in Québec and \$2 543 for the OECD country mean, that is, a difference of \$512.

The salaries of Québec teachers, which were relatively higher than the OECD country mean, as well as the fact that Québec teachers spend less time teaching in the classroom, contributed considerably to the higher teaching costs (\$707 + \$544 = \$1 251). The lower instruction time for students in Québec and the higher average class size than the OECD country mean reduced the gap in salary costs ((-\$126) + (-\$613)) = -\$739). The net effect of these factors is a difference of \$512 in the teaching costs per student.

Québec and the OECD countries for which data were available were compared here. There are obviously major differences among the countries examined. Readers interested in comparisons by country may consult the 1997 edition of *Education at a Glance*, as well as another 1997 OECD publication, *Education Policy Analysis*, which provides complementary data on comparisons by country of teaching costs per student.

These comparisons by country demonstrate the various decisions made with respect to the combination of factors selected by each country. The combination of factors chosen by a given country has a direct impact on per-student costs, and also determines the working conditions of teachers and the quality of the teaching that students receive.

For the time being, the OECD data do not allow an equivalent comparison for teachers at other levels of instruction.

#### **Collective Wealth**

The second factor considered in the analysis of the difference between Québec and the OECD country mean, in terms of the percentage of the GDP spent on education, is collective wealth.

The per capita GDP was used as the indicator of collective wealth.

In 1994, Québec's per capita GDP was \$22 949, compared with \$20 725 for the OECD country mean, that is, a difference of 11%. Because Québec is relatively wealthier than

the OECD country mean, it spends a smaller portion of its GDP on education for the same amount of resources allocated to students. This factor therefore plays a role in narrowing the gap between Québec's financial investment in education and the OECD country mean.

#### **Enrolment Rates**

The enrolment rate is defined here as the ratio of the total enrolments (for all levels of instruction) to the population of 5-to-29-year-olds. A higher enrolment rate for an area indicates (all other variables being equal) that more individuals will attend school in this area and, therefore, that a larger financial investment in education will be required.

In 1994, the enrolment rate was 68% in Québec, and the OECD country mean, 61%. The higher enrolment rate in Québec contributed significantly to its higher educational spending.

It is also important to know that the enrolment rate increased considerably in Québec from 1981 to 1994, going from 54% in 1981 to 68% in 1994.

This increase is in part attributable to a higher student retention rate for secondary education. Also, in Québec, the probability of obtaining a secondary school diploma was much higher in 1994-95 (85%) than in 1981-82 (71%).

The enrolment rate for postsecondary education is much higher in Québec than in the OECD countries and this is a major reason why Québec's educational spending is greater. Table 7 presents a comparison of net enrolment rates for postsecondary education, by age group.

Table 7Net enrolment rates forpublic and privatehigher education, byage group, 1994:Québec and the OECDcountry mean

	18-to-21- year-olds	22-to-25- year-olds	26-to-29- year-olds	
Québec	46.3	24.1	11.1	
OECD country mean	21.5	15.3	6.6	

#### **Demographic Factor**

The age structure of the population for the areas considered is also a factor accounting for the differences observed in the portion of the GDP spent on education.

The demographic factor is defined here as the percentage that a group of 5-to-29-yearolds represents in terms of the total population, or the segment of the population that is most likely to attend school. For a given area (all other variables being equal), a larger proportion of young people in the total population indicates that there will be more persons attending school in this area and that, therefore, a greater financial investment in education will be required.

In 1994, this proportion was 34% in Québec, whereas the OECD country mean was 36%. This factor therefore contributed to reducing the difference between Québec and the OECD country mean.

It should also be noted that this proportion dropped considerably in Québec between 1981 and 1994, going from 43% to 34%. This could have considerably brought down educational spending, but did not, because of a significant rise in the enrolment rate. Thus, these two factors counterbalance each other to a great extent.

#### Summary of Factors at Play

In 1994, Québec allocated 8.0% of its GDP to education, compared with 5.9% for the OECD country mean, for a difference of 2.1 percentage points.

Table 8 indicates the degree to which the main factors contribute to this difference. The "positive" factors are those that account for an increase in educational spending in Québec and the "negative" factors are those that bring it down.

	Educational expenditure relative to the GDP in Québec (%)	8.0
S e	Educational expenditure relative to the GDP for the OECD countries (%)	5.9
e >	Difference (Québec-OECD countries) in percentage points	2.1
n e	Factors contributing to this difference (in percentage points):	
n	Higher per-student spending for elementary and secondary education in Québec	1.2
	Higher per-student spending for postsecondary education in Québec	0.8
	Higher enrolment rates for elementary and secondary education in Québec	0.2
	Higher enrolment rates for postsecondary education in Québec	1.4
	Higher per capita GDP in Québec	-0.6
	Demographic factor (older population in Québec)	-0.7
	Net impact of other factors	-0.2
	Total	2.1

Of the factors that contribute to Québec spending a larger portion of its GDP than the OECD country mean, a higher per-student spending for elementary, secondary and postsecondary education accounted for 2.0 percentage points (1.2 + 0.8). Moreover, higher enrolment rates in Québec accounted for 1.6 percentage points (0.2 + 1.4).

Of the factors that reduced the gap between the portion of the GDP spent on education in Québec and the OECD country mean, Québec's slightly higher per capita GDP narrowed the gap by 0.6 percentage points, just as did Québec's relatively older population (-0.7 percentage points).

If the levels of instruction are considered separately, postsecondary education, which is more extensive in Québec, plays an important role in the difference observed in the portion of the GDP allocated to education in Québec and the OECD country mean. Thus, per-student spending for postsecondary education, which is higher in Québec, accounts for 0.8 percentage points, whereas a higher enrolment rate accounts for 1.4 percentage points.

Table 8Impact of variousfactors on thedifference in thepercentage of the GDPspent on education in1994: Québec and theOECD country mean

Data sources:	<ul> <li>OECD: Education at a Glance: OECD Indicators, 1997</li> <li>OECD: Education at a Glance: OECD Indicators, 1996</li> <li>OECD: Education Policy Analysis, 1997</li> <li>Statistics Canada: OECD indicators as they apply to the provinces</li> <li>Ministère de l'Éducation, Education Indicators, Direction générale des services à la gestion, Direction des statistiques et des études quantitatives</li> <li>Ministère de l'Éducation, Direction générale des services à la gestion, Direction des statistiques</li> <li>Ministère de l'Éducation, Direction générale des relations de travail</li> </ul>
For further information:	Marius Demers (418) 644-5815
Other Education Statistics Bulletins available:	<ul> <li>Lespérance, André, Level of Graduation Upon Leaving the Education System, No. 1, November 1997.</li> <li>Demers, Marius, Statutory Salaries and Teaching Time of Teachers in Public Elementary and Lower Secondary Schools: A Comparison of Québec and OECD Countries, No. 2, November 1997.</li> </ul>

Table 1Educationalexpenditure as apercentage of GDP, bylevel of instruction: aninternationalcomparison, 1994 (%)		Elementary and secondary education (excluding preschool)	Post- secondary education	Elementary, secondary and post- secondary education	All levels (including preschool and undistributed expenditures)
	Denmark	4.8	2.1	6.9	8.4
	Sweden	5.1	2.2	7.3	7.8
	Finland	4.6	1.9	6.5	7.3
	Canada	4.4	2.6	7.0	7.2
	United States	3.9	2.4	6.3	6.6
	Hungary	4.3	1.3	5.6	6.5
	France	4.5	1.2	5.7	6.4
	Australia	4.1	2.0	6.1	6.2
	Korea	3.9	1.8	5.7	6.2
	Germany	3.9	1.1	5.0	6.0
	Ireland	3.8	1.6	5.4	6.0
	Spain	4.1	1.1	5.2	5.8
	Austria	4.0	1.0	5.0	5.6
	Mexico	4.0	1.1	5.1	5.6
	Iceland	N/A	1.0	N/A	5.5
	Portugal	4.0	0.9	4.9	5.5
	Netherlands	3.4	1.7	5.1	5.4
	Japan	3.1	1.1	4.2	4.9
	Italy	N/A	0.9	N/A	4.8
	Turkey	2.2	1.3	3.5	3.5
	Greece	1.7	0.7	2.4	2.4
	OECD country mean	3.9	1.5	5.4	5.9
	Québec, 1994	4.5	3.3	7.8	8.0
	Québec, 1997 <sup>e</sup>	3.9	2.9	6.8	7.0

**APPENDIX 1** 

N/A: data not available

e: estimates

Sources: - For the OECD countries: Education at a Glance: OECD Indicators, 1997;

 For Québec (1994): Statistics Canada: OECD indicators as they apply to the provinces;

 For Québec (1997): Ministère de l'Éducation, Direction générale des services à la gestion, Direction des statistiques et des études quantitatives.

### Page 10

#### **APPENDIX 2**

Factors explaining the differences between the areas with respect to the percentage of the GDP spent on education<sup>1</sup> In order to be able to explain the differences observed among the areas in terms of the portion of the GDP spent on education, it is necessary to do a comparative analysis of the main factors that determine the level of educational expenditure for each area. The factors considered are: total per-student spending; per capita GDP; an indicator of enrolment rates defined as the ratio of enrolments to the population of 5-to-29-year-olds; and a demographic factor defined as the ratio of 5-to-29-year-olds to the total population.

The equation used is as follows:

EXP		EXP	1	FTE		5-29
	=		Х — Х		Х	
GDP		FTE	GDP/POP	5-29		POP

where,

EXP	1	expenditure for all levels of instruction
GDP	:	gross domestic product
FTE	:	enrolments in full-time equivalents
POP	:	total population
5-29	:	population of 5-to-29-year-olds
EXP/GDP	:	portion of the GDP spent on all levels of instruction
EXP/FTE	:	spending per student
GDP/POP	:	per capita GDP
FTE/5-29	:	enrolment rate
5-29/POP	:	demographic factor

The formulas used to calculate the degree to which factors contribute to the difference in the percentage of the GDP spent on education in Québec and for the OECD country mean are provided in Appendix 3 of the following document: Demers, Marius, L'effort financier pour l'enseignement primaire et secondaire; Une comparaison Québec–Autres provinces–États-Unis, de 1981-1982 à 1991-1992, Direction des études économiques et démographiques, Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec, December 1992.

#### **APPENDIX 3**

Factors explaining the differences between the areas with respect to teaching costs per student for lower secondary education<sup>1</sup> In order for data on teaching costs per student in lower secondary education, by country, to be as comparable as possible, the OECD uses a theoretical calculation on the basis of three variables: the statutory salary of teachers with 15 years of experience, and enrolments and teaching personnel expressed in full-time equivalents.

The equation used is as follows:

TS		TS		TP
	=		Х	
FTE		TP		FTE

where,

TS	:	teachers' salaries
FTE	:	enrolments
TP	:	teaching personnel
TS/FTE	:	teaching costs per student
TS/TP	:	statutory salary of teachers with 15 years of experience
TP/FTE	:	teacher-student ratio

For convenience, the teacher-student ratio is expressed in the text as the number of students per teacher. We have stated that the student-teacher ratio **does not indicate the average number of students per class** (average class size). In effect, the student-teacher ratio can be considered a composite indicator that is the result of three variables: average number of students per class, average teaching time of teachers, and average instruction time for students.

The equation used is as follows:

FTE	FTE	HR	1
=	<u>—</u> Х	TP	X
TP	СL		HR/CL

where,

FTE	:	enrolments
TP	:	teaching personnel
CL	:	number of classes
HR	:	number of hours of instruction
FTE/TP	:	average number of students per teacher
FTE/CL	:	average number of students per class (average class size)
HR/TP	:	average number of hours of teaching for teachers
HR/CL	:	average number of hours of instruction for students

The formulas used to calculate the degree to which factors contribute to the difference in teaching costs per student are provided in Appendix 3 of the following document: Demers, Marius, L'effort financier pour l'enseignement primaire et secondaire; Une comparaison Québec-Autres provinces-États-Unis, de 1981-1982 à 1991-1992, Direction des études économiques et démographiques, Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec, December 1992.